## IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF TEXAS DALLAS DIVISION

GEONNA THOMPSON,	§	
D1 : 4:00	§ e	C: '1 A .' N 2 21 02210
Plaintiff,	8	Civil Action No.: 3:21-cv-02219
	8	
V.	8	******
	§	JURY DEMANDED
CLARK RIDGE CANYON, LTD.,	§	
CLARK RIDGE CANYON GP, LLC,	§	
and HENRY BUILDING, INC.,	§	
	§	
Defendants.	§	

#### PLAINTIFF'S ORIGINAL COMPLAINT

Comes now Geonna Thompson ("Plaintiff") and alleges as follows:

## I. INTRODUCTION

- 1. Plaintiff Geonna Thompson brings this action against Clark Ridge Canyon, Ltd., Clark Ridge Canyon GP, LLC, and Henry Building, Inc. ("Defendants") alleging violations of the Fair Housing Act, Title VIII of the Civil Rights Act of 1968, as amended by the Fair Housing Amendments Act of 1988 ("FHA"), 42 U.S.C. §§ 3601-3619 with regard to lack of accessibility at the Clark Ridge Canyon, 8200 Clark Springs Drive, Dallas, Texas 75236 (the "Property").
- 2. Plaintiff seeks declaratory, monetary and injunctive relief arising from violations of the accessibility requirements of the FHA. The FHA requires that certain apartment complexes built for first occupancy after March 13, 1991 be designed and constructed with accessible and useable features for people with disabilities.
- 3. Plaintiff is a handicapped individual who uses a wheelchair. She brings this civil rights action against the Defendants for failing to design and/or construct apartments with

accessible and useable features for people with disabilities as required by the FHA. Defendants' apartment complex, the Clark Ridge Canyon, is a multi-family dwelling that has numerous barriers to access. Therefore, Plaintiff seeks a declaration that Defendants' apartment complex violates federal law and an injunction requiring Defendants to comply with the requirements of the FHA.

4. The Defendants' violations of the FHA have thwarted Congressional efforts to eradicate housing discrimination against people with disabilities and rendered units and/or facilities unavailable to people with disabilities. Enforcement of the FHA against the Defendants is necessary because of the extensive nature of the civil rights violations at apartments designed, constructed and/or owned by the Defendants.

## II. JURISDICTION AND VENUE

- 5. This Court has federal question jurisdiction pursuant to 28 U.S.C. §1331 and 42 U.S.C. § \$3613(a).
- 6. Plaintiff's claims asserted herein arose in this judicial district and Defendants do substantial business in this judicial district.
- 7. Venue in this judicial district is proper under 28 U.S.C. §1391(b) and (c) in that this is the judicial district in which a substantial part of the acts and omissions giving rise to the claims occurred.

## III. PARTIES

8. Plaintiff, Geonna Thompson, is and, at all times relevant hereto, was a resident of the State of Oklahoma. Plaintiff is and, at all times relevant hereto, has been legally handicapped and is therefore a member of a protected class under the FHA.

- 9. Defendant Clark Ridge Canyon, Ltd. ("Clark Ridge Ltd."), is a Texas limited partnership located at 1130 N. Westmoreland Road, DeSoto, Texas 75115-2810, and may be served with process through its registered agent, Michael D. Hesse, at 5560 Tennyson Parkway, Suite 250, Plano, Texas 75024. Upon information and belief, Clark Ridge Ltd. is the owner of the Property. Upon information and belief, Clark Ridge Ltd. participated in the design and construction of the Property. Upon information and belief, Clark Ridge Ltd. hired various contractors to design and build the Property.
- 10. Defendant Clark Ridge Canyon GP, LLC ("Clark Ridge GP"), is a Texas limited liability company located at 1130 N. Westmoreland Road, DeSoto, Texas 75115-2810, and may be served with process through its registered agent, Michael D. Hesse, at 5560 Tennyson Parkway, Suite 250, Plano, Texas 75024. Upon information and belief, Clark Ridge GP is the general partner of the owner of the Property. Upon information and belief, Clark Ridge GP participated in the design and construction of the Property. Upon information and belief, Clark Ridge GP hired various contractors to design and build the Property.
- 11. Defendant Henry Building, Inc. ("Henry Building"), is a Texas corporation located at 2450 N. Interstate 35E, Lancaster, Texas 75134-2110, and may be served with process through its registered agent, Arthur Edward Henry, at 2450 N. Beckley Road, Lancaster, Texas 75134. Upon information and belief, Henry Building is the developer of the Property. Upon information and belief, Henry Building participated in the design and construction of the Property. Upon information and belief, Henry Building hired various contractors to design and build the Property.

## IV. STATUTORY BACKGROUND

#### A. The FHA

- 12. Congress enacted the FHA design and construction accessibility requirements as part of a comprehensive revision of the FHA to prohibit discrimination on the basis of disability. The debates and legislative history of the FHA reflect Congressional findings that a person using a wheelchair or other mobility aid is just as effectively excluded from the opportunity to live in a particular dwelling by steps or thresholds at building or unit entrances and by too narrow doorways as by a posted sign saying "No Handicapped People Allowed." In considering the 1988 disability amendments to the FHA, Congress stressed that enforcement of civil rights laws is necessary to protect people with disabilities from the "devastating" impact of housing discrimination, including the "architectural barriers" erected by developers who fail to construct dwellings and public accommodations accessible to and useable by people with disabilities. HR. REP. NO. 100-711, at 25 (1988), reprinted in 1988 U.S.C.C.A.N. 2173, 2186.
- 13. In response to Congressional findings, the FHA mandated that every multi-family apartment building containing four (4) or more units and built for first occupancy after March 13, 1991 ("covered multi-family dwellings") be subject to certain design and construction requirements. Congress specifically rejected the approach of requiring only a specific number or percentage of units be fully accessible. Instead, Congress decided that all covered multifamily dwellings units must comply with the Act's design and construction requirements. All ground floor units must comply with the following requirements, as must all units served by an elevator:
  - a) Public-use and common-use areas that are readily accessible to, and useable
     by, people with disabilities;

- b) Doors into and within covered units that are sufficiently wide to allow passage by people in wheelchairs;
- c) An accessible route into and through the dwelling;
- d) Light switches, electrical outlets, thermostats and other environmental controls in accessible locations;
- e) Reinforcements in bathroom walls that allow for the later installation of grab bars; and
- f) Useable kitchens and bathrooms such that an individual in a wheelchair can maneuver about the space.
- 14. Congress viewed the accessibility requirements imposed by § 3604(f)(3)(C) as "modest." Congress found that these standards do not impose "unreasonable requirements" or a "standard of total accessibility," but rather the "basic features" required by § 3604(f)(3)(C) amount to "minimal standards" that would be "easy to incorporate in housing design and construction." Furthermore, according to the House Report, the § 3604(f)(3)(C) requirements could be met without making new multi-family housing "look unusual" and without "significant additional costs."
- 15. Pursuant to Congressional authority, the United States Department of Housing and Urban Development ("HUD") promulgated final FHA design and construction regulations in January 1989, see 24 C.F.R § 100.205 (2008), and published the final Fair Housing Act Accessibility Guidelines on March 6, 1991 (hereinafter "FHAAG") which incorporates the requirements of the American National Standard for buildings and facilities providing accessibility and usability for physically handicapped people, A117-I-1986 (hereinafter "ANSI"), see 56

Fed.Reg. 9472 (Mar. 6, 1991), and the Fair Housing Act Design Manual in August 1996, which was revised in August 1998.

16. Defendants failed to comply with the FHAAG and ANSI in the design and construction of the Property. They also failed to comply with any other recognized objective FHA or ADA standard for accessibility.

## V. FACTUAL BACKGROUND

#### A. The "Property".

- 17. The "Clark Ridge Canyon" is an apartment complex located at 8200 Clark Springs Drive, Dallas, Texas 75236. The Property consists of approximately 248 units spread over multiple buildings with at least four units per building. The apartment complex is not served by elevators.
- 18. The Property described above was designed and constructed for first occupancy after March 13, 1991.
- 19. The Property contains "covered multifamily dwellings" within the meaning of 42 U.S.C. § 3604 (f)(7).
- 20. The covered multifamily dwellings at the Property are subject to the requirements of 42 U.S.C. § 3604 (f)(C)(3). These include all ground floor units.

#### B. Plaintiff's Visit to the Property.

21. Plaintiff recently visited the Property and looked at one or more units. Plaintiff observed and encountered accessibility barriers that would interfere with her ability to access and use the facilities. These barriers were a deterrent to a disabled person, such as Plaintiff, renting an apartment.

- 22. As a result of Defendants' non-compliance with the FHA, Plaintiff, unlike persons without disabilities, cannot independently use certain features of the Property.
- 23. Defendants' apartments lack various mandatory elements required by the FHA, necessary to make the apartments accessible and useable for people with disabilities.
- 24. As a handicapped individual, Plaintiff is concerned whether apartments are accessible and useable for people with disabilities.
- 25. Plaintiff has been injured by the Defendants' discriminatory practices and failure to design and/or construct apartments with accessible and useable features for people with disabilities as required by the FHA. These injuries arose from encountering discriminatory barriers at the Property.
- 26. Without injunctive relief, Plaintiff and others will continue to be unable to fully access and use the Property in violation of their rights under the FHA.

#### C. FHA Violations.

- 27. The Defendants' violations of 42 U.S.C. § 3604(f)(3)(C) at the Property include, but are not limited to, those outlined below. These allegations represent just some of the barriers demonstrating Defendants' failure to design and construct covered units and the public and common use areas in accord with 42 U.S.C. §3604(f)(3)(C) and are not intended as an exhaustive inventory of such violations. Plaintiff anticipates that an inspection of the Property will identify additional violations.
- 28. Defendants have failed to design and/or construct public and common-use areas that are readily accessible to and useable by people with disabilities in compliance with 42 U.S.C. § 3604(f)(3)(C)(i) and 24 C.F.R. §100.205(c)(1) (2008). For example:
  - a) there was no accessible route provided to some first-floor units;

- b) the accessible route leading to some of the buildings had excessively steep slopes;
- c) there were changes in level in the route leading to some units that rendered them inaccessible;
- d) there was no accessible route provided to public transportation stops or to public streets or sidewalk from some of the building entrances;
- e) some of the buildings lacked an accessible route between the buildings and site facilities including the pool area;
- f) the centralized mailbox center was not accessible;
- g) there was no level surface provided at some of the gates and others lacked a clear space for maneuvering;
- h) some accessible routes contained excessive slopes and cross-slopes making it difficult for individuals using wheelchairs or mobility aids to safely move about; and
- i) numerous handicap parking spots contain excessive slopes and cross-slopes.
- 29. The Defendants have failed to design and/or construct accessible routes into and through all units within covered dwellings in compliance with 42 U.S.C. § 3604 (f)(3)(C)(iii)(I) and 24 C.F.R. § 100.205(c)(3)(i) (2008). For example:
  - a) there were changes in level in the route leading to some units that rendered them inaccessible; and
  - b) patio storage closets were inaccessible because they contained excessively high thresholds.

- 30. Defendants have failed to design and/or construct useable kitchens and bathrooms such that an individual in a wheelchair can maneuver about the space in compliance with 42 U.S.C. § 3604(f)(3)(C)(iii)(IV) and 24 C.F.R. § 100.205(c)(3)(iv) (2008). For example:
  - a) bathrooms are inaccessible because they lack the requisite clear floor space outside the swing of the door;
  - b) some bathrooms are inaccessible because the toilet centerlines are less than 18 inches to the side wall on the side opposite the direction of approach; and
  - c) some bathrooms are inaccessible because they contain a small shower which lack the minimum required space.
- 31. Further, by failing to provide the accessible and useable features required by 42 U.S.C. § 3604(f)(3)(C) at the Property for people with physical disabilities, including but not limited to those outlined above, the Defendants have violated 42 U.S.C. § 3604(f)(1) and/or have discriminated against them in the terms, conditions or privileges of the rental of dwellings in violation of 42 U.S.C. § 3604(f)(2).

## VI. CAUSE OF ACTION

## For violation of the FHA, 42 U.S.C. § 3601, et seq.

- 32. The allegations in the preceding paragraphs are incorporated by reference.
- 33. The Property contains residential apartment units that are "dwelling[s]" within the meaning of 42 U.S.C. § 3602(b).
- 34. Plaintiff has standing to sue as an "aggrieved person" as defined in 42 U.S.C. § 3602(i) which includes any person who (1) claims to have been injured by a discriminatory

housing practice; or (2) believes that such person will be injured by a discriminatory housing practice that is about to occur.

- 35. At the Property, all ground-floor units are "covered multifamily dwellings" within the meaning of 42 U.S.C. § 3604(f)(7)(A), were built for first occupancy after March 13, 1991, and are subject to the design and construction requirements set forth in 42 U.S.C. § 3604(f)(3)(C) of the FHA. The public- and common-use areas of the Property are also subject to the design and construction requirements of 42 USC. § 3604(f)(3)(C) and 42 U.S.C. § 12101, et seq. ("ADA").
  - 36. Through the actions and inactions described above, the Defendants have:
    - a) discriminated in the rental of, or otherwise made unavailable or denied, dwellings to persons because of their disabilities in violation of 42 U.S.C. § 3604(f)(1);
    - b) discriminated against persons because of their disabilities in the terms, conditions or privileges of rental of a dwelling, or in the provision of services or facilities in connection with the rental of a dwelling, in violation of 42 U.S.C. § 3604(f)(2); and
    - c) failed to design and construct dwellings in compliance with the requirements mandated by 42 U.S.C. § 3604(f)(3)(C) and the applicable regulations, 24 C.F.R. Part 100.205 (2008).
  - 37. The Defendants' discriminatory conduct has damaged Plaintiff.

## VII. PRAYER FOR RELIEF

WHEREFORE, Plaintiff prays for:

- a. A Declaratory Judgment that at the commencement of this action and the completion of construction of the Property the Defendants were in violation of the specific requirements of the FHA, described above, and the relevant implementing regulations of the FHA and ADA;
- b. An injunction, pursuant to 42 U.S.C. § 3613(c)(l)(a), enjoining the Defendants, their officers, directors, employees, agents, successors, assigns and all other persons in active concert or participation with any of them, both temporarily during the pendency of this action, and permanently from:
  - 1. with regard to the Property, failing or refusing to bring the covered dwelling units and the public use and common use areas into compliance with the requirements of 42 U.S.C. § 3604(f)(3)(C), the Americans with Disabilities Act, 42 U.S.C. §12101, et seq. ("ADA") and the applicable regulations; to comply with such procedures for inspection and certification of the retrofits performed as may be ordered by this Court; and to perform or allow such other acts as may be necessary to effectuate any judgment of this Court against them;
  - 2. failing or refusing to design and construct any covered multifamily dwellings in the future in compliance with 42 U.S.C. § 3604(f)(3)(C) and the applicable regulations; and

- 3. failing or refusing to take such steps as will eliminate, to the fullest extent practicable, the lingering effects of the Defendants' unlawful housing practices.
- c. An injunction enjoining the Defendants from failing or refusing to permit the survey of any of their covered properties that were designed and/or built by the Defendants and any retrofits ordered by the Court to be made at such property, to also comply with such procedures for inspection and certification of the retrofits performed as may be ordered by this Court, and to perform or allow such other acts as may be necessary to effectuate any judgment against the Defendants;
- d. Award such damages as would fully compensate Plaintiff for his injuries incurred as a result of the Defendants' discriminatory housing practices and conduct, pursuant to 42 U.S.C. § 3613(c)(1)(a);
- e. Award such punitive damages against the Defendants as is proper under law, pursuant to 42 U.S.C. § 3613(c)(1)(a);
  - f. Payment of costs of suit;
- g. Payment of reasonable attorneys' fees and expenses, pursuant to 42 U.S.C. § 3613(c)(2), 42 U.S.C. §12205; and other principles of law and equity; and
- h. The provision of whatever other relief the Court deems just, equitable and appropriate.

# VIII. JURY DEMAND

Plaintiff demands a trial by jury of all issues so triable.

Dated: September 17, 2021.

Respectfully Submitted,

CALHOUN & ASSOCIATES

/s/ Eric G. Calhoun

Eric G. Calhoun Texas Bar No. 03638800 1595 N. Central Expressway Richardson, Texas 75080 Telephone: (214) 766-8100 Facsimile: (214) 308-1947

Facsimile: (214) 308-1947 eric@ecalhounlaw.com

egcla@ecalhounlaw.com (Assistant)

ATTORNEYS FOR PLAINTIFF